

From variation to the emergence of linguistic regularities

Rémi Lamarque (James Sneed German, supervisor)

Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, LPL, Aix-en-Provence, France
remi.lamarque@lpl-aix.fr

Introduction:

- In languages, two rules may be in competition. Regularization occurs when one of them emerge as an optimal solution and completely overcomes the other one.
- Regularization is often seen as a change in individuals' grammar.
- Evidences from cultural evolution (Derex, 2015, 2016) or complex systems (Becker & al., 2009) studies suggests that changes at a macro level doesn't necessarily reflect changes at a micro level.
- In linguistic terms, this suggests that regularization at a community-level does not reflect a change in individuals' grammar.
- In this study, I explore how community-level factors may explain the regularization of certain derivational processes (Compound Abbreviated Loanwords) in Japanese.

What is a Compound Abbreviated Loanword (CAL) ?

CALs are abbreviations :

- Based on two constituents of foreign origin
- Frequent and employed in various situations (not only in informal speech)
- Phonologically constrained derivation process: Conservation of the 2 initial morae of each constituent to form a four morae abbreviation (see *pokemon* below).
- Sometimes irregular: Majority of exceptions include three morae, maintaining only the first mora of the second constituent (see *potetāi* below).
- Individuals show different patterns of irregularity, reflecting competition between rules.

Examples :

English :	pocket monster	potato chips
Japanese :	po.ke.t.t.o mo.N.su.ta.a	po.te.to t̄ai.Q.pu.su
Abbrev. :	po.ke.mo.N	po.te.t̄ai
Katakana :	ポケモン	ポテチ




Fig.1 : Treatment of /R/ by individuals (separated in age groups)



Fig. 2 : Layout of the game (draft). Here translated in English

New experimental approach:

Questions arising from previous results:

- How can regularity emerge at community-level when individuals have different preferences ?
- What community-level factors play a role in the regularization process ?

Multi-player online game (see Fig.2) :

- Players create new CALs together.
- Players assign names to imaginary creatures.
- Players are motivated to anticipate group-level outcomes.
 - E.g., they get more points if everyone in a group chooses the same name.
- Focus on how players converge on naming solutions when variation in the grammar presents multiple options (e.g., when /R/ or /Q/ should appear at the end of a CAL).

Community-level parameters:

- The size of groups of players (Derex & al., 2013)
- Connections within and between groups (i.e., network structure, Derex & Boyd, 2016)
- Mode of interaction (e.g., negotiation via chatbox).
- Social factors (e.g., variant prestige, Tamariz et al., 2011)
- Distribution of biases in the community (Pierrehumbert et al., 2014)
- ...

Main objectives :

- Explain discrepancy between individual-level and community-level preferences.
- Identify the role of different community-level factors in the process of regularization.

Community- vs. individual-level regularization:

- Corpus surveys of attested forms (Labruno, 2007; Lamarque, 2015) show that three morae CALs are often created when the second mora of the second constituent is either the lengthening of a vowel (/R/) or the first part of a geminate consonant (/Q/), as in *potetāi*. Moreover, the deletion of these morae has become more systematic with time :

	Older corpus (2001)	Newer corpus (2015)
% deletion of /R/	45%	81%
% deletion of /Q/	45%	86%

- This suggests that the deletion of /R/ and /Q/ when they appear in final position of a CAL has regularized.
- However, an experimental study (Lamarque, 2016) showed that individuals still have a highly variable treatment of /R/ and /Q/ in the creation of *new* CALs.
- /R/ and /Q/ were deleted in less than 30% of cases (see fig.1).

=> The regularity in attested forms, representing the regularization at a community-level, does not reflect the distribution of preferences in individuals' grammar.

Next steps:

- Complete the creation of the game (select items, design the creatures, run tests, ...).
- Create multiple versions with different parameter manipulations.
- Collect the data.
- Analyze the data: what factors significantly influenced the players' attitudes and scores ? In what way ?
- Conduct agent-based modeling simulations (Netlogo) and compare to empirical results. (Wilensky, 1999)

References:

DEREX, Maxime, FERON, Romain, GODELLE, Bernard, RAYMOND Michel, « Social learning and the replication process: an experimental investigation. » in *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 282, 2015, 9p.
BECKER, Clay & al., « Language Is a Complex Adaptive System : Position Paper », in *Language Learning*, volume 59, issue supplement s1, Santa Fe Institute, 2009, p.1-26.
WILENSKY, Uri, NetLogo. <http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/>. Center for Connected Learning and Computer-Based Modeling, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL., 1999

Acknowledgements:

This study is partially supported by a grant from A*MIDEX (n° ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02) funded by the Investissements d'Avenir French Government program, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR).